

## United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/607,109	06/26/2003	Milton Bernard Hollander	3632	
75	90 11/12/2004		EXAMINER	
William A. Drucker			JAGAN, MIRELLYS	
Suite 800 1901 L Street, N.W.			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
Washington, DC 20036-3506			2859	
		DATE MAILED: 11/12/2004		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Advisory Action	10/607,109	HOLLANDER ET AL.			
, in the state of	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Mirellys Jagan	2859			
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address			
THE REPLY FILED 10/25/04 FAILS TO PLACE THIS AF Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to ave final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1) condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appea Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.	void abandonment of this applica ) a timely filed amendment whicl I (with appeal fee); or (3) a timel	ation. A proper reply to a name places the application in			
	EPLY [check either a) or b)]				
a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS 706.07(f).  Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Official filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.7	Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE date on which the petition under 37 CF of extension and the corresponding amount the shortened statutory period for reply ce later than three months after the mai	g date of the final rejection. IE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP  R 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension unt of the fee. The appropriate extension originally set in the final Office action; or			
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's Brief must be filed within the period set forth in 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 1.191(d)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal.					
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered be	ecause:				
(a) ⊠ they raise new issues that would require further	er consideration and/or search (	see NOTE below);			
(b) they raise the issue of new matter (see Note below);					
(c) X they are not deemed to place the application is issues for appeal; and/or	•	rially reducing or simplifying the			
(d) they present additional claims without canceli	ing a corresponding number of f	nally rejected claims.			
NOTE: See Continuation Sheet.					
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following reject	tion(s):				
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would canceling the non-allowable claim(s).	be allowable if submitted in a se	eparate, timely filed amendment			
5. The a) affidavit, b) exhibit, or c) request for application in condition for allowance because:		idered but does NOT place the			
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered bec raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.	ause it is not directed SOLELY t	o issues which were newly			
7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment explanation of how the new or amended claims we					
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:					
Claim(s) allowed:					
Claim(s) objected to:		1			
Claim(s) rejected: <u>74-82 and 84-86</u> .					
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:					
8. The drawing correction filed on is a) app	roved or b) disapproved by	the Examiner.			
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statemen					
10.⊠ Other: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u>	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·				
	~				
•	10				

Continuation of 2. NOTE: The Amendment filed 10/25/04 amends claims 74 and 81 by adding the limitation "more than two independent spaced apart lasers". Therefore, the proposed amendment raises new issues that will require further consideration and/or search since these new limitations were not present in the finally rejected claims.

Continuation of 10. Other: The proposed amendment overcomes the rejections over Horiba stated in the final Office action. However, the proposed amendment fails to overcome the teachings of the Horiba reference since Horiba states that the light source 5 needs to be provided at a multiple number having proper intervals (stated in the upper left column of page 2), i.e., there are more than two light sources used in his device..